

QFT HW 4

1. Consider a real scalar field φ with Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}(\varphi, \partial\varphi)$. Show that the conserved angular momentum current $J^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ generated by a small Lorentz transformation

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \Omega^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu \quad (0.0.1)$$

is given by

$$J^{\mu\alpha\beta} = T^{\mu[\alpha} x^{\beta]} = T^{\mu\alpha} x^\beta - T^{\mu\beta} x^\alpha; \quad (0.0.2)$$

where $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the “canonical” stress tensor

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \varphi)} \partial^\nu \varphi - \eta^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}. \quad (0.0.3)$$

(Hint: Remember the generator $\Omega^{\mu\nu}$ is anti-symmetric.)

From the conservation of the current, namely $\partial_\mu J^{\mu\alpha\beta} = 0$ explain why the stress tensor is symmetric: $T^{\alpha\beta} = T^{\beta\alpha}$. For higher rank tensors, the angular momentum current would have additional terms that can be interpreted to arise from their internal angular momentum/spin; this will turn out to spoil this symmetry property.¹ \square

2. Peskin & Schroeder 2.1
3. Peskin & Schroeder 2.2
4. Peskin & Schroeder 2.3
5. An alternate means to canonical quantization that emphasizes the Lorentz covariance nature of quantum fields is to demand that their (anti-)commutators yield the difference between the classical retarded and advanced Green’s functions. For a massless scalar field with $\mathcal{L} = (1/2)(\partial\varphi)^2$, for instance, we have

$$i [\varphi(x), \varphi(x')] = G^+(x - x') - G^-(x - x'), \quad (0.0.4)$$

$$G^\pm(z) = - \int_{-\infty \pm i0^+}^{+\infty \pm i0^+} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \frac{d^{d-1} \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{e^{-i\omega z^0} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{z}}}{\omega^2 - \vec{k}^2}. \quad (0.0.5)$$

¹It is physically important for the stress energy tensor to be symmetric. For it is otherwise possible to exert a finite torque on an infinitesimal volume; see Schutz’s “First Course in General Relativity” for a discussion.

Note that:

$$\partial^2 G^\pm(x) = \delta^{(4)}(x). \quad (0.0.6)$$

Show that this leads to the canonical equal time commutation relations

$$[\varphi(t, \vec{x}), \Pi(t, \vec{x}')] = [\varphi(t, \vec{x}), \dot{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}')] = i\delta^{(d-1)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}'). \quad (0.0.7)$$

Hint: You do not need to evaluate the entire Fourier integral, but you need to apply the residue theorem to the ω integral before computing the equal time commutator. \square

6. **Vacuum Wave Functional** Argue that the vacuum wavefunctional of the massive scalar QFT is proportional to a Gaussian:

$$\langle \varphi | 0 \rangle = C \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \varphi(\vec{k})^* \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2} \varphi(\vec{k}) \frac{d^{d-1}\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \right). \quad (0.0.8)$$

The C is a constant. Hint: Focus on a given \vec{k} -mode. What is its ground state wave function? The wave functional is the product of all \vec{k} -wave functions.

7. **Massless Spin-1 Photons and Spin-2 Gravitons** Consider a scalar-vector decomposition of the photon vector potential A_i and electric current J_i :

$$A_i = \partial_i \alpha + \alpha_i, \quad \partial_i \alpha_i = 0, \quad (0.0.9)$$

$$J_i = \partial_i \Gamma + \Gamma_i, \quad \partial_i \Gamma_i = 0. \quad (0.0.10)$$

Explain why the conservation of current $\partial^\mu J_\mu = 0$ implies

$$J^0 = \partial_i \partial_i \Gamma. \quad (0.0.11)$$

Next, recall the gauge-invariant vector potential variables

$$\Phi \equiv A_0 - \dot{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad A_i^T \equiv \alpha_i. \quad (0.0.12)$$

Show that the electromagnetic action

$$S_{\text{EM}} \equiv \int d^d x \left(-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - A_\mu J^\mu \right) \quad (0.0.13)$$

can be written in the manifestly gauge-invariant form

$$S_{\text{EM}} \equiv \int d^d x \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha \alpha_j \partial^\alpha \alpha_j + \alpha_i \Gamma_i + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \Phi \partial_i \Phi - \Phi J^0 \right). \quad (0.0.14)$$

(Assume it is alright to integrate-by-parts freely.) Now, let us quantize the dynamical massless spin-1 photon α_i in the (3+1)D vacuum, i.e., where $J_\mu = 0$.

$$\alpha_i(x) = \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \sum_{s=1}^2 \left\{ a_k^s \epsilon_i^s e^{-ik \cdot x} + (a_k^s)^\dagger (\epsilon_i^s)^* e^{+ik \cdot x} \right\}, \quad k \equiv |\vec{k}|. \quad (0.0.15)$$

The $\{\epsilon_i^s | s = 1, 2\}$ are the two transverse orthonormal polarization vectors of the photon: $k^i \epsilon_i^s = 0$. Whereas $k_\mu k^\mu = 0$ and the ladder operators obey the simple harmonic algebra

$$[a_{\vec{k}}^r, (a_{\vec{k}'}^s)^\dagger] = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{rs} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k} - \vec{k}'). \quad (0.0.16)$$

Show that, for $x^\mu \equiv (t, \vec{x})$ and $x'^\mu \equiv (t', \vec{x}')$,

$$i [\alpha_i(x), \alpha_j(x')] = \text{sgn}(t - t') \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3} P_{ij}(\vec{k}) \frac{\sin(k(t - t'))}{k} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')}, \quad (0.0.17)$$

$$P_{ij}(\vec{k}) \equiv \delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{\vec{k}^2}. \quad (0.0.18)$$

Next, we turn to the massless spin-2 graviton h_{ij}^{TT} ,² which is transverse and traceless:

$$\partial_i h_{ij}^{\text{TT}} = 0 = \delta^{ij} h_{ij}^{\text{TT}}. \quad (0.0.19)$$

Its quantum operator admits the expansion, in (3+1)D,

$$h_{ij}^{\text{TT}}(x) = \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \sum_{s=1}^2 \{ a_{\vec{k}}^s \epsilon_{ij}^s e^{-ik \cdot x} + (a_{\vec{k}}^s)^\dagger (\epsilon_{ij}^s)^* e^{+ik \cdot x} \}, \quad k \equiv |\vec{k}|. \quad (0.0.20)$$

The transverse traceless conditions in Fourier space reads

$$k^i \epsilon_{ij}^s = 0 = \delta^{ij} \epsilon_{ij}^s. \quad (0.0.21)$$

Imposing the simple harmonic algebra

$$[a_{\vec{k}}^r, (a_{\vec{k}'}^s)^\dagger] = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{rs} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k} - \vec{k}'). \quad (0.0.22)$$

demonstrate that

$$i [h_{ij}^{\text{TT}}(x), h_{mn}^{\text{TT}}(x')] = \text{sgn}(t - t') \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3} P_{ijmn}(\vec{k}) \frac{\sin(k(t - t'))}{k} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')}, \quad (0.0.23)$$

$$P_{ijmn}(\vec{k}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(P_{im}(\vec{k}) P_{jn}(\vec{k}) + P_{in}(\vec{k}) P_{jm}(\vec{k}) - P_{ij}(\vec{k}) P_{mn}(\vec{k}) \right). \quad (0.0.24)$$

Hints: Recall that the (Fourier space) transverse polarization vector can be obtained by projection:

$$\varepsilon_i^{\text{T}} = P_{ij} \varepsilon_j; \quad (0.0.25)$$

and likewise for the (Fourier space) TT gravitation polarization tensor:

$$\varepsilon_{ij}^{\text{TT}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(P_{im}(\vec{k}) P_{jn}(\vec{k}) + P_{in}(\vec{k}) P_{jm}(\vec{k}) - P_{ij}(\vec{k}) P_{mn}(\vec{k}) \right) \varepsilon_{mn}. \quad (0.0.26)$$

²In a weakly curved spacetime $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$, with $|h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1$, the h_{ij}^{TT} is the transverse-traceless part of $h_{\mu\nu}$.

This implies, when one encounters a sum over orthonormal polarization vectors such as

$$\sum_s \epsilon_i^{(s)\text{T}} (\epsilon_j^{(s)\text{T}})^* = P_{ia} P_{jb} \sum_s \epsilon_a^{(s)} (\epsilon_b^{(s)})^*; \quad (0.0.27)$$

even though the sum only runs over the two orthonormal polarizations perpendicular to k_i , it can be extended to include $\epsilon_i^{(3)} = \widehat{k}_i$ since it is annihilated by P_{ij} anyway. This observation may then be invoked to then apply the completeness relation. Similar remarks apply for the graviton case.

8. **Gaussian & Grassmannian Integrals** Compute the integrals involving real variables x^i and symmetric matrix A_{ij} :

$$\langle x^{i_1} x^{i_2} \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(i x^i A_{ij} x^j) x^{i_1} x^{i_2} d^D \vec{x}, \quad (0.0.28)$$

$$\langle x^{i_1} x^{i_2} x^{i_3} \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(i x^i A_{ij} x^j) x^{i_1} x^{i_2} x^{i_3} d^D \vec{x}, \quad (0.0.29)$$

$$\langle x^{i_1} x^{i_2} x^{i_3} x^{i_4} \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(i x^i A_{ij} x^j) x^{i_1} x^{i_2} x^{i_3} x^{i_4} d^D \vec{x}. \quad (0.0.30)$$

Explain how to obtain the general even-point function $\langle x^{i_1} \dots x^{i_{2n}} \rangle$ and odd-point function $\langle x^{i_1} \dots x^{i_{2n+1}} \rangle$.

Next, compute the integrals involving complex Grassmann variables θ^i and Hermitian matrix H_{ij} :

$$\langle \theta^{i_1} \overline{\theta^{i_2}} \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(i \overline{\theta^i} H_{ij} \theta^j) \theta^{i_1} \overline{\theta^{i_2}} d^D \vec{\theta} d^D \vec{\theta}^*, \quad (0.0.31)$$

$$\langle \theta^{i_1} \overline{\theta^{i_2}} \theta^{i_3} \overline{\theta^{i_4}} \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(i \overline{\theta^i} H_{ij} \theta^j) \theta^{i_1} \overline{\theta^{i_2}} \theta^{i_3} \overline{\theta^{i_4}} d^D \vec{\theta} d^D \vec{\theta}^*. \quad (0.0.32)$$

Also evaluate the integrals involving only θ or only its complex conjugate $\overline{\theta} \equiv \theta^*$, namely $\langle \theta^{i_1} \dots \theta^{i_N} \rangle$ and $\langle \overline{\theta^{i_1}} \dots \overline{\theta^{i_N}} \rangle$. Can you explain how to get the general function $\langle \theta^{i_1} \overline{\theta^{j_1}} \dots \theta^{i_n} \overline{\theta^{j_n}} \rangle$? This and the above real variable case is the discrete analog of □